

Blatant electioneering by a major corporation uniquely positioned to unfairly influence voters is obvious by Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. Why are you allowing it? Why haven't you shut them down in the face of this obvious disregard for the law? Is it that both you (the FCC) and Sinclair will benefit from Bush remaining in office? That's a perversion of the whole idea of Democratic government.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.